

Carolin Gilbaya

“Stranger (of) Here and Everywhere”

The Construction of Ethnicity in
Selected Renaissance Plays

Ute Fendler, Stephan Kohl, Werner Röcke,
Gerold Sedlmayr (Hg.)

LIR

Literatur – Imagination – Realität

Anglistische, germanistische, romanistische Studien

Band 54

Carolin Gilbaya

“Stranger (of) Here and Everywhere”

**The Construction of Ethnicity in
Selected Renaissance Plays**

 Wissenschaftlicher Verlag Trier

Gilbaya, Carolin:

“Stranger (of) Here and Everywhere.” The Construction of Ethnicity in Selected Renaissance Plays / Carolin Gilbaya.-

Trier : WVT Wissenschaftlicher Verlag Trier, 2017

Zugl.: Trier, Univ., FB 2, Diss., 2013

(LIR ; Band 54)

ISBN 978-3-86821-700-1

Cover Image: Abraham Ortelius, „Typus Orbis Terrarum“ (1570)

Cover Design: Brigitta Disseldorf

© WVT Wissenschaftlicher Verlag Trier, 2017

ISBN 978-3-86821-700-1

No part of this book, covered by the copyright hereon,
may be reproduced or used in any form or by any means
without prior permission of the publisher.

WVT Wissenschaftlicher Verlag Trier

Bergstraße 27, 54295 Trier

Postfach 4005, 54230 Trier

Tel.: (0651) 41503, Fax: (0651) 41504

Internet: <http://www.wvttrier.de>

E-Mail: wvt@wvttrier.de

www.facebook.com/wvttrier

“They were men enough to face the darkness.”
(Joseph Conrad, *Heart of Darkness*)

To my parents
Carola Stern-Gilbaya and Ibrahim Gilbaya.

In particular to my mother.
Without her loving support
this project would not have been possible
in the first place.

Acknowledgements

Analyzing texts, be they literary or non-literary, be they historical or contemporary, can be a lonely affair. It is thus often an inspiration to think of the football anthem – “You’ll never walk alone”. And in this respect I was lucky.

I am particularly indebted to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Wolfgang Klooß, who is teacher, mentor, and role-model – to quote him on his pointed assessment of his own supervisor, “a rare bird in academia” – in so many more ways than he will ever know. His constant support, especially, in dire times, his advice and his encouragement are milestones to this work. I am grateful for the scholarship awarded by the Landesgraduiertenstiftung Rheinland-Pfalz.

I moreover want to cordially thank Prof. Dr. Horst Breuer, also belonging to the team of teachers and scientists who nurtured my love of literature and culture and provided me with the skills to undertake such a project, for supporting this study.

Additional debts of gratitude go to Ms Angela Oakeshott, M.A. whose incredible ‘eagle-eye’ proof-reading allowed me to sleep more peacefully at night; to Ms Franziska Hackhausen, M.A. most loyal friend and critic, whose help with layouting and other catastrophes cannot be overestimated; to Tim Hartz for helping with the logistics. Furthermore, without being able to name you all, I am grateful to my teachers, students, colleagues – even if I single out Ms Annkatrin Metz, Diplom-Dolmetscherin, for particular mention – and friends. I am deeply indebted to you all: you all know who you are and what you mean to me.

Finally, I wish to thank my parents, Carola Stern-Gilbaya and Ibrahim Gilbaya for their love, especially my mother, who has passed on to me so much more than the insight into the power of words.

Carolin Gilbaya, May 2017

Contents

I. Theoretical Analysis	1
1. Introduction.....	1
2. Methodology.....	20
3. Theory.....	33
3.1. Race.....	33
3.2. Ethnicity.....	46
4. Self and Alter	55
4.1. Colonialisms.....	55
4.2. Postcolonialisms.....	91
4.2.1. Orientalism.....	96
4.2.2. Colonial Females.....	100
4.2.3. Hybridity, Colonial Mimicry.....	104
II. Textual Analysis	111
1. Conversion and Contamination. The Metamorphosis of a ‘Spice Girl’ – John Fletcher’s <i>The Island Princess</i>	111
1.1. Of Spice and (Wo)Men: Miscegenation and Segregation, Affirmation and Subversion.....	111
1.1.1. Permanent Ambivalences.....	118
1.1.2. Immanent Ambivalences.....	120
Beauty and Skin Colour.....	120
Nature and Character, Norms and Values.....	125
1.1.3. Meta-Textual Ambivalences.....	127
1.1.4. Genre.....	136
1.2. Colonial Couples.....	143
1.2.1. Other and Other.....	144
1.2.2. Self and Other.....	151
The Princess and the Portuguese.....	152
The Princess and the Portuguese?.....	158
1.2.3. Self and Self.....	161

1.3. Confusing Conversions	165
Connected with the Intertexts <i>The Renegado</i> by Philip Massinger and <i>A Christian Turned Turk</i> by Robert Daborne	165
Christian Clashes	181
1.3.1. Intertext I – <i>The Renegado</i>	188
1.3.2. Intertext II – <i>A Christian Turned Turk</i>	198
2. Loving or Leaving the (New) ‘Turks’? William Percy’s <i>Mahomet and His Heaven</i>	211
2.1. “The Better Savage” – Making Mahomet	211
2.1.1. Genre	212
2.1.2. Mohammed and Other Savages	216
2.2. “From East to West, from West to East”.....	244
2.2.1. Turkishness and Englishness	244
2.2.2. Islam, Protestantism, Catholicism	253
3. Staging the Stranger. Ben Jonson’s <i>The Masque of Blackness</i>	259
3.1. ‘Black is Beautiful’ – The Literary Inversion of an Ideal?	259
3.1.1. (Ab)using ‘The Blackamoores’	259
3.1.2. Genre	261
3.1.3. Implications and Evaluations of ‘Blackness’	265
3.1.4. Masking ‘Blackness’	281
3.2. Allegorizing Albion.....	292
3.2.1. “To Blanche an Ethiop and Revive a Corpse” – A Masque for King James I.....	292
3.2.2. “Conquer in Great Beauty’s War” – A Masque for Queen Anne	296
4. Conclusion	309
III. Bibliography	313
1. Primary Literature	313
2. Secondary Literature	316
IV. Index.....	334

I. Theoretical Analysis

1. Introduction

The crossing of ethnic borders and encounters with those of different ethnic background is one of the most significant experiences in the formation of our identities. (Stephen Spencer, *Race and Ethnicity*)¹

Our identity is partly shaped by recognition or its absence. Often by the misrecognition of others. (Charles Taylor, *Multiculturalism and the Politics of Recognition*)²

To create an ego, an alter is needed.³ While notions of 'self' differ due to historical environment, theoretical foundation and political perspective,⁴ there seems to be one indispensable formative element, one essential entity that is crucial for the establishment of singular and collective identities: the Other (see also Drost, 2010, 1).

Without a countermodel to dissociate oneself from, without a counterpole to distance oneself from, without a counterimage to distinguish oneself from, both, individuals⁵ and groups, cannot dimensionally develop their specific characteristics (see also Assmann, A. 2006, 215).⁶ The most striking of all antitheses⁷ required is the *stranger*, is s/he marked by being *different* with regard to the pre-eminent paradigms of human nature: gender, age, class (see also McClintock, 1995, 5, 61; Spencer, 2006, 26; Loomba, 1998, 105, 122, 172), religion, sanity, and customs – in terms of “culture lines rather

1 Spencer, 2006, 45.

2 Taylor, 1992, 25.

3 The present thesis follows Wolfgang Kaschuba who assumes that identity does not exist by itself but “stets auf soziale Relationen und kulturelle Interpretation verweist; sie konstituiert sich überhaupt erst durch die Bezugnahme auf ein Anderes” (Kaschuba, [1999] 2003, 138). According to Kaschuba this “Aushandlungsprozeß zwischen den Selbstbildern und den Fremdbildern in sozialen Begegnungs- und Beziehungssituationen gehört sicherlich zu den kompliziertesten Balanceakten, die wir im Alltag vollführen” (Kaschuba, [1999] 2003, 136). As is said in the epigraphs, though, apart from this form of relational (see Fumerton, 1999, 38) constitution, role models that one feels attached to, that one feels more similar to, are also needed.

4 The rich variety of concepts aiming at answering the fundamental question of how human beings shape themselves and are shaped by their environment are heterogeneous. Different ideas and ideals are attached to the self.

5 An individual is defined here with Aleida Assmann: “Individuum bezeichnet den einzelnen Menschen unter dem Gesichtspunkt seiner Differenz gegenüber allen anderen Menschen” (Assmann, A., 2006, 205).

6 However, “[i]ndividuelle Identität wird jedoch nicht nur von außen festgestellt, sondern auch von innen hervorgebracht; dann ist sie Teil einer individuellen Identitätsarbeit, die Formen der Selbsterforschung, Selbsterkenntnis und Selbsterszenierung einschließt” (Assmann, A., 2006, 205).

7 As will be explained later, strangers are also not as dissimilar as expected.

than color lines” (Gilroy, 2001, 1).⁸ Despite their inherent discrepancies, the foreign and the familiar, since depending on the complementing or contrasting constituent, are, paradoxically, closely connected in a reciprocal relationship ranging from affirmation to subversion, from attraction to rejection, from exoticism to racism (see also Young, 1995, 3).⁹

In the English Renaissance,¹⁰ the epoch this study examines, as an age which, not only forms the concept of *the new man*¹¹ after Descartes’ famous credo ‘*cogito ergo sum*’ – “*I think, therefore I am*” (Descartes, I,7, [1644] 1950, 132, emphasis in the original) –,¹² but also forms the notions of *nations*¹³ (cf. Münkler, 1998, 16; Anderson, [1983] 2006, 36; Starkey, 1992, 147; Varela/Dhawan, 2005, 17), it is the stranger in

-
- 8 Thus, often, the powerless and marginalized, as well as the feared and the fascinating, are denoted in these terms (see also Parry, 2004, 34, 93).
- 9 As Jürgen Osterhammel explains the imperial paradox: “Auf der anderen Seite setzte die Idee der Zivilisierung aber ein Minimum an Anerkennung der ‘Anderen’ als entwicklungsfähige Subjekte voraus. Wie die Europäer an sich selbst erfahren hatten, kann es nur derjenige zu ‘höherer’ Zivilisation bringen, der lernfähig ist” (Osterhammel, 2007, 51-52). This will be illustrated with the textual examples in the second part of the study.
- 10 Apart from using the appealing and very suitable term *early modern* period, the author of this study will also use the established label *Renaissance*, to show the wide range of the epoch between the 14th and the 16th centuries, its link to classical antiquity, its rootedness in medieval thought.
- 11 As Aleida Assmann explains: “Das Interesse an individueller Identität entwickelte sich in der frühen Neuzeit von zwei Seiten aus: von außen durch die Formen staatlicher Kontrolle und Überwachung sowie von innen durch Techniken der Selbstforschung” (Assmann, A. 2006, 205).
- 12 Among early modern groundbreaking changes there is the crucial discovery of the individual. Due to the immensely increasing influence of *Humanism* and the slowly decreasing influence of the churches – which, however, are still of great power – human beings are perceived as originals, not as parts of an indistinguishable mass, as is one of the anthropological concepts of the Middle Ages. Renaissance selves, this study assumes, can be cautiously regarded as the first individuals, the desired first forms of agents of the free will, who embody the intriguing idea of an apotheosis, of being able to mould a unique personality of their own – connected with all the downsides to it.
- 13 In all these respects the early modern period is, as David Starkey suggests, also “an age of reform” (Starkey, 1992, 146). Anthony D. Smith defines a nation as “a named and self-defining human community whose members cultivate shared memories, symbols, myths, traditions and values, inhabit and are attached to historic territories or ‘homelands’, create and disseminate a distinctive public culture, and observe shared customs and standardized laws” (Smith, 2009, 29). According to Münkler nations are based on the “Entwicklung von Identitätsvorstellungen [...] durch die Bevölkerungsgruppen, die nicht in direkter Interaktion und Kommunikation miteinander stehen, Vorstellungen von Zusammengehörigkeit und Gemeinsamkeiten entwickeln” (Münkler, 1998, 16). Anderson, in his seminal work [1983], defines *nations* as imagined communities that are not natural but fictional entities (see Anderson [1983] 2006, 22, 36-46). England, gradually establishing an empire, is preoccupied with such nation-forming.