

Peter Erlebach

The Assessment of the First Sentence
in English Narrative Literature

MUSE

MAINZ

UNIVERSITY STUDIES

IN ENGLISH

Edited by

Peter Erlebach, Klaus-Peter Müller,
Bernhard Reitz, Sigrid Rieuwerts

Band 17

Peter Erlebach

**The Assessment
of the First Sentence
in English Narrative
Literature**

 Wissenschaftlicher Verlag Trier

Erlebach, Peter: The Assessment of the First Sentence
in English Narrative Literature / Peter Erlebach.-
Trier : WVT Wissenschaftlicher Verlag Trier, 2013
(MUSE ; 17)
ISBN 978-3-86821-490-1

Cover Design: Brigitta Disseldorf

© WVT Wissenschaftlicher Verlag Trier 2013
ISBN 978-3-86821-490-1

No part of this book, covered by the copyright hereon,
may be reproduced or used in any form or by any means
without prior permission of the publisher.

WVT Wissenschaftlicher Verlag Trier
Postfach 4005, 54230 Trier
Bergstraße 27, 54295 Trier
Tel. (0651) 41503, Fax 41504
Internet: <http://www.wvttrier.de>
E-Mail: wvt@wvttrier.de

Danksagung

Die vorliegende Untersuchung entstand unter tatkräftiger Förderung der British Studies des Departments of English and Linguistics der Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, für die ich herzlich danke. Meine allseits hilfreiche Unterstützung bei der Herstellung des Texts auf dem Computer waren Frau Sabrina Stracke, M.A., Frau Jennifer Nolden und Frau Jasmin Schleißmann, M.A., denen letztlich die Form des dem Leser nunmehr zugänglichen Bandes zu verdanken ist. Mein Dank gebührt aber auch dem Wissenschaftlichen Verlag Trier für die Betreuung und die Herausgabe in der Reihe MUSE.

P.E.

Table of Contents

I. The Literary Assessment of the First Sentence	13
The <i>incipit</i> in criticism	13
The function of the first sentence	15
Selection of narrative beginnings and principles of investigation	17
Rhetoric and <i>incipit</i>	18
Sentence forms and their bearing on literary beginning	19
‘Captatio benevolentiae’ and forms of address of the reader	20
First sentence, title of the work and the first chapter	21
Prefatory function of the beginning and address to the Muses	22
Narrative genres and the first sentence	23
Standing themes and <i>incipit</i> topoi	24
‘Blind beginnings’ and unconstructive intentions in modernism and postmodernism	25
Present-day orientations	26
II. Prominent Works of English Narrative Art from Beowulf to the End of the 20th Century	27
The Middle Ages	27
1. <i>Beowulf</i> (8th century in Anglian, ca. 1000 in West Saxon)	27
2. <i>Apollonius of Tyre</i> (ca. 1050)	28
3. <i>King Horn</i> (ca. 1225)	29
4. <i>The Lay of Havelok the Dane</i> (ca. 1270)	31
5. <i>Sir Orfeo</i> (ca. 1330)	32
6. <i>Edward, Edward</i> (late Middle Ages)	34
7. <i>Sir Patrick Spence</i> (late Middle Ages)	35
8. <i>Sir Gawain and the Greene Knight</i> (ca. 1375-1400)	36
9. Geoffrey Chaucer, <i>The Canterbury Tales</i> (1386-1400)	39
10. Geoffrey Chaucer, <i>Troilus and Criseyde</i> (ca. 1385)	41
11. Sir Thomas Malory, <i>Le Morte Darthur</i> (1470).....	43
12. John Gower, <i>Confessio Amantis</i> (1390, 1393)	44
13. English fairy-tale <i>incipits</i>	47
The Renaissance	49
14. Sir Thomas More, <i>Utopia</i> (1516)	49
15. John Lyly, <i>Euphues, The Anatomy of Wyt</i> (1578)	52

16.	George Gascoigne, <i>The Pleasant Fable of Ferdinando Jeronimi and Leonora de Valasco, Translated out of the Italian Riding Tales of Bartello</i> (1575)	53
17.	Robert Greene, <i>Pandosto, or The Triumph of Time</i> (1588)	54
18.	Edmund Spenser, <i>The Fairie Queene</i> (1590)	55
19.	Sir Philip Sidney, <i>The Countess of Pembrokes Arcadia</i> (1590)	56
20.	Thomas Lodge, <i>Rosalynde, Euphues Golden Legacie</i> (1590)	58
21.	Thomas Nash, <i>The Unfortunate Traveller, or the Life of Jack Wilton</i> (1594)	59
The Seventeenth Century		61
22.	Emanuel Ford, <i>The Most Pleasant History of Ornatus and Artesia</i> (ca. 1598)	61
23.	Lady Mary Wroth, <i>The Countess of Montgomery's Urania</i> (1621)	63
24.	Thomas Deloney, <i>Jack of Newberie</i> (1597)	64
25.	John Milton, <i>Paradise Lost</i> (1667)	65
26.	John Bunyan, <i>The Pilgrim's Progress</i> (1678)	67
27.	Samuel Butler, <i>Hudibras</i> (1680)	69
28.	John Dryden, <i>Absalom and Achitophel</i> (1681)	71
29.	Aphra Behn, <i>Oroonoko, or The History of the Royal Slave</i> (1688)	73
The Eighteenth Century		75
1700-1750		75
30.	Daniel Defoe, <i>The Life and Strange Surprising Adventures of Robinson Crusoe of York, Mariner</i> (1719)	75
31.	Daniel Defoe, <i>The Fortunes and Misfortunes of the Famous Moll Flanders</i> (1722)	75
32.	Jonathan Swift, <i>A Tale of a Tub</i> (1704)	77
33.	Jonathan Swift, <i>Travels into Several Remote Nations of the World, by Lemuel Gulliver, mostly abbreviated as Gulliver's Travels, Part I, A Voyage to Lilliput</i> (1726)	78
34.	Alexander Pope, <i>The Rape of the Lock</i> (1714)	79
35.	Alexander Pope, <i>The Dunciad</i> (1728, final version 1743)	81
36.	Samuel Richardson, <i>Pamela, or Virtue Rewarded. In a Series of Familiar Letters from a Beautiful Young Damsel to her Parents</i> (1740)	83
37.	Henry Fielding, <i>The Adventures of Joseph Andrews and his Friend, Abraham Adams</i> (1742)	83
38.	Henry Fielding, <i>An Apology for the Life of Mrs. Shamela Andrews. In which, the many notorious Falsehoods and Misrepresentations of a Book called Pamela, are exposed and refuted; and all the matchless Arts of that young Politician, set in a true and just Light . . . By Mr. Conny Keyber</i> (1741)	86

39.	Henry Fielding, <i>The History of Tom Jones, A Foundling</i> (1749)	88
1750-1800		90
40.	Samuel Johnson, <i>The History of Rasselas, Prince of Abissinia</i> (1759)	90
41.	Laurence Sterne, <i>The Life and Opinions of Tristram Shandy, Gent.</i> (1759-1767)	91
42.	Laurence Sterne, <i>A Sentimental Journey through France and Italy</i> (1768)	92
43.	Oliver Goldsmith, <i>The Vicar of Wakefield</i> (1766)	93
44.	Frances Burney, <i>Evelina, or, The History of a Young Lady's Entrance into the World</i> (1778)	94
45.	Ann Radcliffe, <i>The Mysteries of Udolpho, A Romance</i> (1794)	94
46.	William Godwin, <i>Things as they Are; or, The Adventures of Caleb Williams</i> (1794)	95
47.	Samuel Taylor Coleridge, <i>The Rime of the Ancient Mariner</i> (1798)	97
The Nineteenth Century		101
1800-1850		101
48.	Charles Lamb, <i>Tales From Shakespeare</i> (1807)	101
49.	Jane Austen, <i>Pride and Prejudice</i> (1813)	102
50.	Jane Austen, <i>Northanger Abbey</i> (1818)	103
51.	Sir Walter Scott, <i>Rob Roy</i> (1818)	104
52.	Sir Walter Scott, <i>Ivanhoe, a Romance</i> (1819)	106
53.	John Keats, <i>Endymion: A Poetic Romance</i> (1818)	108
54.	Mary Shelley, <i>Frankenstein, or The Modern Prometheus</i> (1818)	110
55.	Charles Robert Maturin, <i>Melmoth the Wanderer</i> (1820)	111
56.	Charles Dickens, <i>Oliver Twist, or the Parish Boy's Progress</i> (1838)	112
57.	Charles Dickens, <i>Bleak House</i> (1852-1853)	113
58.	Charles Dickens, <i>Hard Times</i> (1854)	115
59.	Charles Dickens, <i>A Tale of Two Cities</i> (1859)	116
60.	Benjamin Disraeli, <i>Coningsby, or the New Generation</i> (1844)	117
61.	Emily Brontë, <i>Wuthering Heights</i> (1847)	118
62.	Charlotte Brontë, <i>Jane Eyre. An Autobiography</i> (1847)	119
63.	William Makepeace Thackeray, <i>Vanity Fair. A Novel Without a Hero</i> (1847)	120
1850-1900		122
64.	George Eliot, <i>Adam Bede</i> (1859)	122
65.	George Eliot, <i>Daniel Deronda</i> (1876)	123
66.	Christina Rossetti, <i>Goblin Market</i> (1862)	126

67. Lewis Carroll, <i>Through the Looking-Glass and What Alice Found There</i> (1872)	127
68. Thomas Hardy, <i>The Return of the Native</i> (1878)	128
69. George Gissing, <i>Demos, a Story of English Socialism</i> (1886)	129
70. Frances Burnett, <i>Little Lord Fauntleroy</i> (1886)	130
71. Oscar Wilde, <i>The Picture of Dorian Gray</i> (1891)	131
72. H.G. Wells, <i>The Time Machine. An Invention</i> (1895)	132
73. H.G. Wells, <i>The Island of Doctor Moreau</i> (1896)	133
74. Joseph Conrad, <i>Lord Jim</i> (1899/1900)	135
75. Rudyard Kipling, <i>Kim</i> (1901)	136

The Twentieth Century 139

1900-1950 139

76. Henry James, <i>The Ambassadors</i> (1903)	139
77. Arnold Bennett, <i>Clayhanger</i> (1910)	140
78. D.H. Lawrence, <i>Sons and Lovers</i> (1913)	142
79. George Moore, <i>Esther Waters</i> (1916)	143
80. Ford Madox Ford, <i>The Good Soldier</i> (1915)	143
81. James Joyce, <i>A Portrait of the Artist as a Young Man</i> (1916)	145
82. James Joyce, <i>Finnegans Wake</i> (1939)	147
83. E.M. Forster, <i>A Passage to India</i> (1924)	149
84. Virginia Woolf, <i>Mrs Dalloway</i> (1925)	150
85. Virginia Woolf, <i>To the Lighthouse</i> (1927)	150
86. Virginia Woolf, <i>The Waves</i> (1931)	151
87. Aldous Huxley, <i>Brave New World</i> (1932)	152
88. John Cowper Powys, <i>A Glastonbury Romance</i> (1933; 1955)	154
89. Robert Graves, <i>I Claudius</i> (1934)	155
90. Dorothy Sayers, <i>Gaudy Night</i> (1935)	157
91. Graham Greene, <i>The Power and the Glory</i> (1940)	158
92. Graham Greene, <i>The End of the Affair</i> (1951)	159
93. William Somerset Maugham, <i>The Razor's Edge</i> (1944)	159
94. Evelyn Waugh, <i>Brideshead Revisited</i> (1945)	160

1950-2000 162

95. Lawrence Durrell, <i>The Alexandria Quartet</i> (1957-1960)	162
96. William Golding, <i>Free Fall</i> (1959)	164
97. Muriel Spark, <i>The Ballad of Peckham Rye</i> (1960)	164
98. Iris Murdoch, <i>An Unofficial Rose</i> (1962)	165
99. Anthony Burgess, <i>A Clockwork Orange</i> (1962)	166

100. Anthony Burgess, <i>Little Wilson and Big God</i> (1987)	167
101. Anthony Burgess, <i>Any Old Iron</i> (1989)	168
102. John Fowles, <i>The French Lieutenant's Woman</i> (1969)	169
103. Malcolm Bradbury, <i>The History Man</i> (1975)	170
104. Salman Rushdie, <i>Midnight's Children</i> (1981)	171
105. David Lodge, <i>Small World. An Academic Romance</i> (1984)	172
106. Hanif Kureishi, <i>The Buddha of Suburbia</i> (1990)	173
107. A.S. Byatt, <i>Babel Towers</i> (1996)	174
The Twenty-First Century	177
2000-2010	177
108. V. S. Naipaul, <i>Half a Life</i> (2001)	177
109. Damon Galgut, <i>The Good Doctor</i> (2003)	177
110. Monica Ali, <i>Brick Lane</i> (2003)	178
111. James Graham Ballard, <i>Kingdom Come</i> (2006)	178
112. Hilary Mantel, <i>Wolf Hall</i> (2009)	179
III. Conclusion	181
IV. Bibliography	187

I. The Literary Assessment of the First Sentence¹

The *incipit* in criticism

The study of literary beginning in narrative art seems to have sprung from the larger background of French *explication de texte*, the close stylistic analysis of works of literary art.² It would also seem that French experimental writers of the first half of the 20th century gave particular interest to these *incipits*:

The Surrealists were fond of invoking the *phrase de réveil*, that galvanizing opening and revelatory sentence. Revelatory, because the *incipit* meant the initial incantation as well as the first signal of the initiation.³

The term *incipit* was obviously championed by Louis Aragon which he used “avec insistence . . . pour designer ce déclic initial, cet ‘introït’ de l’oeuvre littéraire.”⁴

By extension from this outstart a number of studies in beginnings in works of English literature came to be made. These also widened the critical terminology of the subject, making e.g. a difference between grand openings and plain openings and speaking of the “poetics of first lines”.⁵ Textual linguistics tell us that the beginning of a work of art holds many consequential signals that create expectations that the subsequent text responds to in the way of *sequitur*. The first sentence may be said to crystallise such information or to build on to them.⁶ In its capacity of the “first words in a work of literature”, it “bear[s] a uniquely demanding responsibility . . .” together with its immediate context. The “opening lines [thus] perform a representational function.”⁷ The first words of a work of art partake of the exceptional nature of the “Romananfäng” and of the “Mikrokosmos” that it constitutes as a prefiguration of the “Makrokosmos” which is the whole work.⁸ Criticism has also attributed to the first sentence “eine kaum

1 The theoretical assessment of this subject appeared in a separate study in similar form in *Anglistik* 22·2·2011 (eds. Rüdiger Ahrens and Heinz Antor), Heidelberg, 107-118.

2 This is Ian Watt’s quite correct assumption in “The First Paragraph of *The Ambassadors*: An Explication,” *Essays in Criticism* 3 (1960), 250.

3 Victor Brombert, “Opening Signals in Narrative,” *New Literary History* 11 (1979/80), 489.

4 Raymond Jean, “Ouvretures, Phrases-Seuils,” *Critique* 288, (1971), 422.

5 Steven G. Kellman, “Grand Openings and Plain: The Poetics of First Lines,” *Sub-Stance* 17 (1977), 139. See also my monograph *Theorie und Praxis des Romaneingangs* (Heidelberg, 1990), Form und Funktion des ersten Satzes, 60-62. Robie Macauley and George Lanning, *Technique in Fiction* (New York, 1964), 30, distinguish between “high beginning” and “low beginning”. See also Erlebach, 50.

6 Erlebach, 60.

7 Kellman, 139. As Victor Hugo said, they are “inexorable revealers” (Brombert, 501).

8 Norbert Miller (ed.), *Romananfänge. Versuch zu einer Poetik des Romans* (Berlin, 1965), speaks of “jene[r] exzeptionelle[n] Stellung” of the *incipit* (8).

zu ermessende Bedeutung”.⁹ Criticism has also drawn attention to the “pouvoir moteur, générateur de la première phrase”.¹⁰ The opinion has also been voiced that in this first beginning “the textual landscape” starts to be unfolded or that creative writing sets in “à partir d’une phrase, d’une image”.¹¹ Raymond Roussel has obviously believed “plus que personne au pouvoir moteur, générateur de la première phrase.”¹² It is thus not amazing that men of letters like Paul Valéry and Henry Breton were convinced that a poetics of the *incipit* means at the same time a poetics of the novel.¹³ To some extent this verdict applies also to the poetics of the first sentence.

Criticism and literary practice have both stressed the intimate relation between the beginning and the ending of a work of art. Samuel Beckett was noted to have said “The end is in the beginning . . .”¹⁴ T.S. Eliot begins the second of the *Four Quartets* with this sentence: “In my beginning is my end.” This relationship uses the rhetorical figure of *cyclos* in a particular manner. Frank Kermode defines fiction primarily as “end-determined”, in which “the end is in harmony with the beginning” with the beginning catering for man’s “forward memory”.¹⁵ In its most radical form, James Joyce subscribes to this relationship of beginning and ending in *Finnegans Wake* in which the ending has its syntactic continuation in the beginning, the supposed, but incomplete first sentence. The meaning of the word *incipit* is thus taken to an extreme form since the beginning “is as much an opening as a completion of the last sentence in the book.”¹⁶

The more recent concept of modernity on the way to postmodernism aims at subverting both temporal order and referentiality and does so of course also in the *incipit* and its signals.¹⁷ In comparison with the role of the traditional beginning in both novel and first sentence such modernist openings appear weak and arbitrary.¹⁸ They may be

9 Gerhard Schmidt-Henkel, “Anfang und Wiederkehr,” *Romananfänge*, ed. Norbert Miller, 93.

10 Jean, 423.

11 Brombert, 489, referring to Louis Aragon. Before Brombert quotes Aragon as saying “On pense à partir de ce qu’on écrit,” which he understands as “referring to a supposedly dynamic point of origin.” (ibid.)

12 Jean, 423.

13 Norbert Miller, “Die Rolle des Erzählers,” *Romananfänge* (ed. Norbert Miller), 40.

14 Brombert, 490, who used this phrase as the motto of his essay. He understands Beckett as saying that “the last words come first” (ibid.).

15 Frank Kermode, *The Sense of an Ending: Studies in the Theory of Fiction* (New York, 1967) and Brombert, 490.

16 Kellman, 147.

17 Brombert, 497.

18 A.D. Nuttal, *Openings. Narrative Beginnings from the Epic to the Novel* (Oxford, 1992), 207-208.

called deceptive beginnings,¹⁹ because they contain several items subscribing to the phenomenon of *non sequitur*. The offer of alternative beginnings in the novel that some writers make is probably inspired by the conviction that literary form, “like life, is seen as a process, and [that] it is arbitrary where we decide to join the flow.”²⁰ However, such unusual opening ‘strategies’ seem to have had an ephemeral life and never rose to the reputation that their counterpart, the offer of different endings as in John Fowles’, *The French Lieutenant’s Woman*, came to acquire and retain for a certain period of time.

The emergence of ‘le roman nouveau’, new French and American theory and its gradual reception into English literary thought²¹ obviously led to two important studies in *incipits* on the basis of analysis in style and meaning: Ian Watt’s study of the beginning of Henry James’s *The Ambassadors* in “*The First Paragraph of The Ambassadors*” (1960) and Frank Kermode’s analogous investigation into Ford Madox Ford’s *The Good Soldier* (1974) in “Novels: Recognition and Deception”.²² Both investigations vindicate the *explication de texte* in a more extended form of literary analysis and kindled new interest in the study of literary beginnings.

The function of the first sentence

The first sentence of a work of art has been called “an initial incantation” or an “initiation”.²³ It serves “as a bridge to a supposed reality . . . [and] at the same time to create an illusion of realism.”²⁴ It is also the place in which the narrator has to captivate the reader’s attention by overcoming “den ersten und stärksten Widerstand des außenstehenden Lesers,” thus, “ihn teilnehmend in die Welt der Fiktion hineinzuziehen.”²⁵ This commitment of the reader’s attention proceeds often from hints at amazing or unusual phenomena that produce a startling effect and demand further information.²⁶ Ford Madox Ford begins his impressionistic novel *The Good Soldier* in such a manner:

19 Kellman, referring to Alain Robbe-Grillet, describes such *incipits* as “false starts” (147).

20 Kellman, *ibid.*

21 E.g. in Stephen Heath, *The Nouveau Roman* (London, 1972).

22 *Critical Inquiry* 1, No. 1 (Sept. 1974), 106-111.

23 Brombert, 489.

24 Brombert, 498. The first sentence has also been called a “threshold phrase” (493f.), an image that suggests crossing a borderline as does the threshold when one enters a house. See also Jean’s title “Ouvertures. Phrases-Seuils.”

25 Miller, 9.

26 Kellman compares this situation with making the reader swallow a “bait” (143). He hints at beginnings such as “A funny thing happened to me on the way to . . .” or “You’re not going to believe this but . . .” (144).

“This is the saddest story I have ever heard.”²⁷ William Godwin begins his novel *The Adventures of Caleb Williams* with this sentence: “My life has for several years been a theatre of calamity.”

Apart from its semantic denotation the first sentence often carries a number of connotations. These connotations are implied in the denotation or are part of it. In the communicative process that the first sentence commands, the connotations are as important as the denotations since they commit imagination and intuitive responses beyond the mere information of the facts. The signals implied or suggested in the information beyond the mere formal expression or the primary literal meaning are important in a literary context. Their forms and means attach themselves to the kinds of sentences selected for these communications, the figures of speech, the rhetorical devices, the configuration, the mode of narration, the commitment of the narrator and various insinuations connected with them.

The first sentence frequently provides a statement holding a declarative utterance in many potential syntactic forms. Such statements may draw attention to implied or suggested meanings as part of the formal, syntactic or literal meaning expressed in the statement. Indeed in many cases such forms of expression are not positively uttered nor objectifiably entailed. Such implications are very often the vehicles of continuation (e.g. by means of sequence signals or logical contradictions). However, implications are wider and more manifold in their psychological appeal. That is why implicature, the comparatively recent discipline of implication analysis,²⁸ proves a useful tool in understanding the full scope of linguistic utterances. The *incipit* in narrative art is the quite natural locus in which targeted language of the kind, at its very inception, proves its rich capacity.

The aesthetic value of *sequitur* and *non sequitur* structures in the first sentence depends on the historical context in which the work in question comes to be written. Older texts of narrative art usually take the reader gradually to the matter in hand by providing the coordinates of time, place and personal circumstances, as rhetoric recommends. Critics have called this procedure the funnel technique which mostly overlaps with the beginning *ab ovo*. Modernism has preferred abrupt beginnings with many things left as yet open and has then provided a gradual revelation of all the circumstances. This is in principle the *in medias res* procedure which was considered particularly inventive by classical and Renaissance epics (in particular in John Milton’s *Paradise Lost*). The aim of most narrative beginnings in the classical English novel of the 18th century was the reader’s gradual accommodation and acculturation. This was best achieved by the uncontested authority of the narrator. However, starting from modernism, the narrator is seen to identify so much with the central figure, to the ex-

27 See Kermode’s analysis of this beginning, “Novels: Recognition and Deception,” 107ff. The title of Ford’s novel in its serialised form of 1914 was *The Saddest Story*.

28 See also Randolph Quirk et al., *A Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language* (Harlow, 1999), 806.